

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,188	06/15/2007	Robert D. Hargens	RE/3-33299A	2101	
74550 FRANK A. SM	7590 02/17/2010	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Novartis Consumer Health, Inc. 200 Kimball Drive OTC PATENT DEPARTMENT - 5TH FLOOR Parsippany, NJ 07054-0622			VALDEZ,	VALDEZ, DEVE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/566,188	HARGENS ET AL.	HARGENS ET AL.	
	Art Unit	_	
JAMES SEIDLECK	1796		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 25 January 2010.							
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):							
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not inclu ☐ A proposed amendment is ☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev	riew is appropriate.	Brief Request.				
The time period for filing a responsible the mail date of the last Office con							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
. The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from cons		im(s) is as follows:					
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.							
All participants:							
(1) JAMES SEIDLECK.		(3) <u>Deve Valdez</u> .					
(2) <u>David Wu</u> .		(4)					
/James J. Seidleck/	/David Wu/	/Deve V	aldez/				